

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/536,941	11/10/2005	Gerard Bradley	1022702-000282	8313		
21839 BUCHANAN	7590 05/03/201 INGERSOLL & ROO	EXAM	EXAMINER			
POST OFFICE BOX 1404			THOMAS,	THOMAS, JAISON P		
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22313-1404	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
		1796				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			05/03/2010	ELECTRONIC		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com offserv@bipc.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/536,941	BRADLEY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jaison P. Thomas	1796	

	Jaison P. Thomas	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 19 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.			
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the st set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be         <ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further con</li> <li>(b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause		
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).		
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		.,	,		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach-	ed.		
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (I	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				

/Mark Kopec/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons stated in the Final Rejection dated 4/19/2010. The Examiner also notes MPEP 2/173.05() which states, "Any negative limitation or exclusionary proviso must have basis in the original disclosure. If alternative elements are positively recited in the specification, they may be explicitly excluded in the claims. See In re Johnson, 558 F. 20 1008, 1019, 194 USPO 187, 196 (CCPA 1977). "The addition of the negative limitation without basis in the original disclosure would also raise issues under 112, 1st paragraph of new matter and failing to comply with the written description requirement. Finally, the negative limitation would require further consideration and search.